Bull. London Math. Soc. 37 (2005) 1–9
doi:10.1112/S0024609305004820

RECENT PROGRESS IN THE STUDY OF REPRESENTATIONS OF INTEGERS AS SUMS OF SQUARES

HENG HUAT CHAN AND CHRISTIAN KRATTENTHALER

Abstract

In this article, the authors collect the recent results concerning the representations of integers as sums of an even number of squares that are inspired by conjectures of Kac and Wakimoto. They start with a sketch of Milne's proof of two of these conjectures, and they also show an alternative route to deduce these two conjectures from Milne's determinant formulas for sums of, respectively, $4s^2$ or $4s(s+1)$ triangular numbers. This approach is inspired by Zagier's proof of the Kac–Wakimoto formulas via modular forms. The survey ends with recent conjectures of the first author and Chua.

1. *Introduction*

The problem of finding explicit formulas for the number of representations of an integer n as a sum of s squares is an old one. The first formula of this kind is due to Legendre and Gauß. If $r_s(n)$ denotes the number of representations of n as a sum of s squares, Legendre and Gauß proved that

$$r_2(n) = 4(d_1(n) - d_3(n)), \tag{1.1}$$

where $d_j(n)$ denotes the number of divisors of n of the form $4k+j$. For example, if n is a prime p of the form $4k+1$, then $r_2(p) = 8$ since $d_1(p) = 2$ and $d_3(p) = 0$. On the other hand, if n is a prime p of the form $4k+3$, then $r_2(p) = 0$ since $d_1(p) = d_3(p) = 1$. This, of course, leads to the well-known result of Fermat, which states that a prime p is of the form $x^2 + y^2$ if and only if p is of the form $4k+1$. Fermat's result led mathematicians to explore and characterize primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$, $n \geqslant 1$. For more information on such characterizations, the reader is encouraged to consult the excellent book by D. A. Cox [**5**].

Let

$$\varphi(q) = \sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{k^2}.$$

It is clear that

$$\varphi^s(q) = \sum_{k \geqslant 0} r_s(k) q^k.$$

As a result, to obtain expressions for $r_s(n)$, it suffices to obtain expressions for $\varphi^s(q)$. The first identity of this kind is due to Jacobi, namely

$$\varphi^2(q) = 1 - 4\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^k \frac{q^{2k-1}}{1-q^{2k-1}}. \tag{1.2}$$

Received 29 July 2004, revised 24 November 2004.

2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification* 11E25, 11F11.

Note that equation (1.1) is a direct consequence of (1.2). Using the theory of elliptic functions, Jacobi also found formulas for $r_4(n)$, $r_6(n)$ and $r_8(n)$, namely

$$\varphi^4(q) = 1 + 8\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{kq^k}{1+(-q)^k}, \tag{1.3}$$

$$\varphi^6(q) = 1 + 16\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^2q^k}{1+q^{2k}} + 4\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^k \frac{(2k-1)^2q^{2k-1}}{1-q^{2k-1}}, \tag{1.4}$$

and

$$\varphi^8(q) = 1 + 16\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{k^3q^k}{1-(-q)^k}. \tag{1.5}$$

From (1.3), we find that

$$r_4(n) = 8 \sum_{\substack{d\mid n\\ d\not\equiv 0 \pmod 4}} d,$$

and this immediately implies that every positive integer is a sum of four squares, a famous result of Lagrange.

We call series of the type

$$A + B\sum_{k\geqslant 1} a_k \frac{q^k}{1-q^k}$$

generalized Lambert series. Note that for even $s \leqslant 8$, we are able to express $\varphi^s(q)$ in terms of generalized Lambert series. This does not seem possible when $s = 10$. In fact, Liouville showed that

$$\varphi^{10}(q) \\ = 1 + \frac{4}{5}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{k-1}\frac{(2k-1)^4q^{2k-1}}{1-q^{2k-1}} + \frac{64}{5}\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^4q^k}{1+q^{2k}} + \frac{32}{5}q\varphi^2(q)\varphi^4(-q)\psi^4(q^2),$$

where

$$\psi(q) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} q^{k(k+1)/2}.$$

Indeed, for any even $s \geqslant 10$, $\varphi^s(q)$ is a sum of generalized Lambert series and a 'cusp form'.

Recently, new formulas for $r_s(n)$ were discovered. One common feature of these formulas is the absence of 'cusp forms'. (Note that the coefficients of q^n in Lambert series can be calculated once we know the factorization of n. In general, this is impossible for cusp forms. Hence, these new formulas are more 'effective' if one wants to determine $r_s(n)$.) The new formulas involve only generalized Lambert series. The purpose of this paper is to describe these recent discoveries.

Before we proceed with our discussion, we make the following observation. It is known [**2**, p. 43, Entry 27(ii)] that

$$4e^{\pi i/(2\tau)}\psi^2(e^{-2\pi i/\tau}) = \frac{\tau}{i}\varphi^2(-e^{\pi i\tau}). \tag{1.6}$$

Suppose that we have a relation

$$4^s q^{s/2}\psi^{2s}(q^2) = F(L_1(q^2), L_2(q^2), \ldots, L_m(q^2)), \qquad \text{with } q = e^{\pi i\tau},$$

where each L_j is a generalized Lambert series or a product of generalized Lambert series satisfying

$$L_j(e^{-2\pi i/\tau}) = \left(\frac{\tau}{i}\right)^s L_j^*(-e^{\pi i\tau})$$

for some $L_j^*(-q)$ (which is also a generalized Lambert series or a product of generalized Lambert series). Then we would have

$$\varphi^{2s}(-q) = F(L_1^*(-q), \ldots, L_m^*(-q)).$$

Conversely, if we have a formula for sums of squares, then we will have a formula for sums of triangular numbers. We illustrate the above observation by the following identities.

Suppose, for $q = e^{\pi i\tau}$, that we have

$$\begin{aligned} 4^4 e^{2\pi i\tau}\psi^8(e^{2\pi i\tau}) &= 256 \sum_{k\geqslant 0} \frac{k^3 q^{2k}}{1-q^{4k}} \\ &= \frac{16}{15}\left(E_4(\tau) - E_4(2\tau)\right), \end{aligned} \tag{1.7}$$

where

$$E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{k\geqslant 1} \frac{k^3 e^{2\pi ik\tau}}{1-e^{2\pi ik\tau}}. \tag{1.8}$$

The Eisenstein series $E_4(\tau)$ satisfies the transformation formula (see [**1**, p. 24, Example 12])

$$E_4\Big(-\frac{1}{\tau}\Big) = \tau^4 E_4(\tau).$$

If we replace τ by $-1/\tau$ in (1.7), then the left-hand side of (1.7) is $\tau^4\varphi^8(-q)$ by (1.6), with $q = e^{\pi i\tau}$. Now, by (1.8), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{16}{15}\left(E_4\Big(-\frac{1}{\tau}\Big) - E_4\Big(-\frac{2}{\tau}\Big)\right) \\ &\quad = \tau^4 \frac{16}{15}\left(E_4(\tau) - \frac{1}{2^4}E_4\Big(\frac{\tau}{2}\Big)\right) \\ &\quad = \tau^4\left(1 + 128\sum_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{k^3 q^k}{1-q^k} - 16\sum_{k\geqslant 1}\frac{(2k+1)^3 q^{2k+1}}{1-q^{2k+1}}\right). \end{aligned}$$

Hence we conclude that

$$\varphi^8(-q) = 1 + 16\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^3(-q)^k}{1-q^k}.$$

Replacing q by $-q$, we obtain the formula for sums of eight squares. For more details of the relations between formulas associated with squares and triangular numbers, see [**8**] and [**10**].

Note that the identity for $\psi^8(q)$ is much simpler than that for $\varphi^8(q)$. This is in fact a general phenomenon: the identity for $\psi^{2s}(q)$ will be much simpler than that for $\varphi^{2s}(q)$ for any $s \in \mathbb{N}$. For the rest of this paper, we will therefore present only identities associated with $\psi(q)$.

2. *The formulas of Kac and Wakimoto*

Let $t_k(n)$ be the number of representations of n as the sum of k triangular numbers. In 1994, V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto [**7**] conjectured that

$$t_{4s^2}(n) = \frac{1}{s!}\frac{4^{-s(s-1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2s-1} j!} \sum_{\substack{a_1,\dots,a_s\in\mathbb{N},\ a_i \text{ odd} \\ r_1,\dots,r_s\in\mathbb{N},\ r_i \text{ odd} \\ a_1r_1+\dots a_sr_s=2n+s^2}} a_1\dots a_s \prod_{i<j}(a_i^2-a_j^2)^2 \tag{2.1}$$

and

$$t_{4s(s+1)}(n) = \frac{1}{s!}\frac{2^s}{\prod_{j=1}^{2s} j!} \sum_{\substack{a_1,\dots,a_s\in\mathbb{N} \\ r_1,\dots,r_s\in\mathbb{N},\ r_i \text{ odd} \\ a_1r_1+\dots a_sr_s=n+\frac{1}{2}s(s+1)}} (a_1\dots a_s)^3 \prod_{i<j}(a_i^2-a_j^2)^2. \tag{2.2}$$

These formulas follow from a conjectural affine denominator formula for simple Lie superalgebras of type $Q(m)$. (For the definition of $Q(m)$, see [**6**].)

Identities (2.1) and (2.2) were first proved by S. C. Milne [**9**], using results on continued fractions and elliptic functions. For example, Milne showed, using Schur functions, that (2.1) is a consequence of his determinant formula [**9**, (5.107)]

$$\left(q\psi^4(q^2)\right)^{s^2} = \frac{4^{-s(s-1)}}{\prod_{j=1}^{2s-1} j!}\det(C_{2(u+v-1)-1})_{1\leqslant u,v\leqslant s}\ , \tag{2.3}$$

where

$$C_{2j-1} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{(2r-1)^{2j-1}q^{2r-1}}{1-q^{2(2r-1)}}, \qquad j\geqslant 1.$$

We now briefly describe Milne's proof of (2.3).

Milne first showed that if

$$\operatorname{sn}(u) := \operatorname{sn}(u,\mathbf{k}), \qquad \operatorname{dn}(u) := \operatorname{dn}(u,\mathbf{k}) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \operatorname{cn}(u) := \operatorname{cn}(u,\mathbf{k})$$

are the classical Jacobi elliptic functions, then [**9**, (2.44), (2.68)]

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{\operatorname{sn}(u)\operatorname{cn}(u)}{\operatorname{dn}(u)} &= \frac{1}{\mathbf{k}^2}\sum_{m\geqslant 1}\frac{2^{2m+2}(-1)^{m-1}}{z^{2m}}C_{2m-1}\frac{u^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!} \\ &=: \sum_{m\geqslant 1} c_m\frac{u^{2m-1}}{(2m-1)!},\end{aligned} \tag{2.4}$$

where

$$z=\varphi^2(q) \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathbf{k}^2 = 16q\frac{\psi^4(q^2)}{\varphi^4(q)}. \tag{2.5}$$

Milne then showed that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_0^\infty \frac{\operatorname{sn}(u)\operatorname{cn}(u)}{\operatorname{dn}(u)}e^{-u/t}\,du \\ &\qquad = \cfrac{t^2}{1+(4-2\mathbf{k}^2)t^2+\mathbf{K}_{n=2}^{\infty}\dfrac{-(2n-1)(2n-2)^2(2n-3)\mathbf{k}^4t^4}{1+(2n-1)^2(4-2\mathbf{k}^2)t^2}}.\end{aligned} \tag{2.6}$$

Here, $\mathbf{K}_{n=2}^{\infty}$ is the notation for continued fractions:

$$\mathbf{K}_{n=2}^{\infty}\frac{a_n}{b_n} := \cfrac{a_2}{b_2+\cfrac{a_3}{b_3+\cfrac{a_4}{b_4+\ddots}}}\,.$$

Using [**9**, Theorem 3.4] and (2.6), Milne deduced the Hankel determinant evaluation [**9**, (4.9)] as follows:

$$H_n^{(1)}(\{c_m\}) := \det\begin{pmatrix} c_1 & c_2 & \dots & c_n \\ c_2 & c_3 & \dots & c_{n+1} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ c_n & c_{n+1} & \dots & c_{2n-1}\end{pmatrix} = (\mathbf{k}^2)^{n(n-1)}\prod_{r=1}^{2n-1} r!. \tag{2.7}$$

Simplifying the left-hand side of (2.7) using the definition (2.4) of the c_i and making use of the relations [**9**, (3.66), (5.11)]

$$H_n^{(1)}(\{t^m a_m\}) = t^{n^2} H_n^{(1)}(\{a_m\}),$$

and (2.5), we deduce (2.3).

We now describe a simplification of Milne's Schur function argument that allowed him to deduce (2.1) from (2.3). As a side result, we also obtain a new expression for $t_{4s^2}(n)$ (see (2.8) below).

In a recent paper [**11**], D. Zagier gave a direct proof of the above formulas of Kac and Wakimoto using the theory of modular forms. In that paper, he constructed a certain map sending the monomials $X_1^{k_1-1}\dots X_s^{k_s-1}$ (here, the X_i are indeterminates) to the product of Eisenstein series $g_{k_1}^+\dots g_{k_s}^+$ (the quantities g_{2j}^+ being, up to scaling, the quantities C_{2j-1} in Milne's formula). It turns out that if we apply a variant of that map, Φ_s say, defined by sending the product $X_1^{2k_1-1}\dots X_s^{2k_s-1}$ to the product $C_{2k_1-1}\dots C_{2k_s-1}$ in Milne's formula, then we get the new formula

$$t_{4s^2}(n) \;=\; \frac{(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}}{4^{s(s-1)}\prod_{j=1}^{2s-1} j!}\sum_{\substack{a_i,\,r_i\,\in\,\mathbb{N}\text{ odd}\\ a_1r_1+\dots+a_sr_s=2n+s^2}} a_1a_2^3\dots a_s^{2s-1}\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s}(a_i^2\,-\,a_j^2). \tag{2.8}$$

This is seen as follows. By series expansion, we have

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2j-1} &= \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}(2r-1)^{2j-1}q^{2r-1}\sum_{k=0}^{\infty}q^{2k(2r-1)}\\ &= \sum_{r,k\geqslant 1}(2r-1)^{2j-1}q^{(2k-1)(2r-1)}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{m\text{ odd}\\ a\mid m}} a^{2j-1}q^m. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_s(X_1^{2k_1-1}\dots X_s^{2k_s-1}) &= C_{2k_1-1}\dots C_{2k_s-1}\\ &= \sum_{\substack{m_1,\dots,m_s\text{ odd}\\ a_1\mid m_1,\dots,a_s\mid m_s}} q^{m_1+\dots+m_s}a_1^{2k_1-1}\dots a_s^{2k_s-1}. \end{aligned}$$

Returning to Milne's formula (2.3), we see that this implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
&\det\left(C_{2(u+v-1)-1}\right)_{1\leqslant u,v\leqslant s}\\
&\quad=\Phi_s\Big(\det\left(X_u^{2(u+v-1)-1}\right)_{1\leqslant u,v\leqslant s}\Big)\\
&\quad=\Phi_s\left(\prod_{i=1}^{s}X_i^{2i-1}\det\left(X_u^{2(v-1)}\right)_{1\leqslant u,v\leqslant s}\right)\\
&\quad=\Phi_s\left((-1)^{s(s-1)/2}\prod_{i=1}^{s}X_i^{2i-1}\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s}(X_i^2-X_j^2)\right)\\
&\quad=(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}\sum_{\substack{m_1,\dots,m_s\text{ odd}\\ a_1|m_1,\dots,a_s|m_s}}q^{m_1+\dots+m_s}\prod_{i=1}^{s}a_i^{2i-1}\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s}(a_i^2-a_j^2),
\end{aligned}$$

where we have used the Vandermonde determinant evaluation to evaluate the determinant in going from the third to the fourth line.Now a comparison of **Q1** coefficients of q^{2n+s^2} leads us to (2.8).

We now show that (2.1) follows from (2.8), using an elementary combinatorial argument. For each positive integer s, let

$$P_s(X_1,\dots,X_s)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}X_i\prod_{i<j}(X_i^2-X_j^2)^2$$

and

$$P_s'(X_1,\dots,X_s)=\prod_{i=1}^{s}X_i^{2i-1}\prod_{i<j}(X_i^2-X_j^2).$$

For positive integers s and m, let

$$R_s(m,P(X_1,\dots,X_s))=\sum_{\substack{a_i,r_i\in\mathbb{N}\text{ odd}\\ a_1r_1+\dots+a_sr_s=m}}P(a_1,\dots,a_s).$$

We want to show that

$$R_s(m,P_s)=(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}s!\,R_s(m,P_s').$$

Now, if S_s denotes the symmetric group of s elements and $\sigma\in S_s$, then $R_s(m,P_s'(X_{\sigma(1)},\dots,X_{\sigma(s)}))=R_s(m,P_s'(X_1,\dots,X_s))$, since, whenever $(a_1,\dots,a_s)$ is an s-tuple of odd nonnegative integers for which there are $r_1,\dots r_s$ such that $a_1r_1+\dots+a_sr_s=m$, then $(a_{\sigma(1)},\dots,a_{\sigma(s)})$ is an s-tuple with the same property. Hence

$$R_s\left(m,\sum_{\sigma\in S_s}P_s'(X_{\sigma(1)},\dots,X_{\sigma(s)})\right)=s!\,R_s(m,P_s'(X_1,\dots,X_s)).$$

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
&R_s\left(m,\sum_{\sigma\in S_s}P_s'(X_{\sigma(1)},\dots,X_{\sigma(s)})\right)\\
&\qquad=R_s\left(m,\det(X_j^{2i-2})_{1\leqslant i,j\leqslant s}\prod_{i=1}^{s}X_i\prod_{1\leqslant i<j\leqslant s}(X_i^2-X_j^2)\right)\\
&\qquad=(-1)^{s(s-1)/2}R_s(m,P_s(X_1,\dots,X_s)),
\end{aligned}$$

by the Vandermonde determinant evaluation. Thus, we have shown how (2.1) follows from Milne's determinant formula (2.3) by passing via (2.8), thereby providing an alternative to Milne's (somewhat more involved) Schur function argument.

3. *A conjecture for the sum of 8s triangular numbers*

We now state Milne's determinant formula for $4s(s+1)$ triangles:

$$\left(16q\psi^4(q^2)\right)^{s(s+1)} = \left(2^{s(4s+5)}\right)\prod_{j=1}^{2s}(j!)^{-1}\det\left(D_{2(u+v-1)+1}\right)_{1\leqslant u,v\leqslant s}, \tag{3.1}$$

where

$$D_{2j+1} = \sum_{r=1}^{\infty}\frac{r^{2j+1}q^{2r}}{1-q^{4r}}, \qquad j \geqslant 1.$$

This formula led to the first proof of (2.2). Using arguments analogous to those given in the last section, one can deduce (2.2) from (3.1).

When $s = 2$, this leads to the following beautiful formula:

$$q^6\psi^{24}(q^2) = \frac{1}{72}\left(T_8T_4 - T_6^2\right),$$

where

$$T_{2k}(q) := \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\frac{n^{2k-1}q^{2n}}{1-q^{4n}}, \qquad k > 1.$$

Note the resemblance of this formula to the well-known formula

$$q\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}(1-q^n)^{24} = \frac{1}{1728}\left(E_4E_8 - E_6^2\right),$$

where the E_i are the classical Eisenstein series. (This was probably first observed by F. G. Garvan.)Note that, as indicated in the introduction, one obtains Milne's **Q2**
new formula for 24 squares; that is, if

$$S_4(q) = 1 + 16\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^3q^k}{1-(-q)^k},$$

$$S_6(q) = 1 - 8\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^5q^k}{1-(-q)^k},$$

and

$$S_8(q) = 17 + 32\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\frac{k^7q^k}{1-(-q)^k},$$

then [**9**, Theorem 1.6, (1.25)]

$$\varphi^{24}(q) = \frac{1}{9}\left\{S_4(q)S_8(q) - 8S_6^2(q)\right\}.$$

Comparing this with the 'old' formula

$$\varphi^{24}(q) = 1 + \frac{16}{691}E_{11}(q) + \frac{33152}{691}qf^{24}(q) - \frac{65536}{691}q^2f^{24}(-q^2),$$

where

$$f(-q)=\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}(1-q^k),$$

we find that Milne's formula requires fewer terms. Moreover, if we know the factorization of n, then we can calculate $r_{24}(n)$ explicitly from the new formula, since the terms are all Eisenstein series. The new formula for 24 squares and a recent paper of Z.-G. Liu [**8**] led the first author and Chua [**3**] to formulate the following conjecture for $8s$ triangular numbers.

CONJECTURE 3.1. *For any positive integer $s>1$, we have*

$$q^{2s}\psi^{8s}(q^2)=\sum_{\substack{m+n=2s\\ m\geqslant n\geqslant 2}} a_{m,n}T_{2m}T_{2n},$$

for some rational numbers $a_{m,n}$.

The above conjecture is equivalent to showing that the forms $T_{2m}T_{2n}$ are linearly independent over the complex numbers $\mathbb{C}$.

For a fixed s, one can verify the corresponding identity. For example, when $s=4$ we are led to the following new identity:

$$q^8\psi^{32}(q^2)=\frac{1}{75600}\left(T_4(q)T_{12}(q)-\frac{25}{4}T_{10}(q)T_6(q)+\frac{21}{4}T_8^2(q)\right). \tag{3.2}$$

Note that the above identity does not follow from any of the formulas of Kac and Wakimoto or Milne, since 32 is not of the form $4s^2$ or $4s(s+1)$.

The first proof of this result proceeds by expressing the T_{2m} in terms of $\mathbf{k}^2$ and z (see (2.5) for their definitions). The corresponding expressions are found by using the theory of modular forms, as well as the following recurrence satisfied by each T_{2m}:

$$T_{2n+8}(q)=T_2(q)T_{2n+6}(q)+12\sum_{j=0}^{n}\binom{2n+4}{2j+2}T_{2j+4}(q)T_{2n-2j+4}(q),$$

where

$$T_2(q)=1+24\sum_{j=0}^{\infty}\frac{jq^{2j}}{1+q^{2j}}.$$

The above recurrence follows from the differential equation satisfied by the Jacobi elliptic function $M:=M(u)=\operatorname{sn}^2(u)$, namely,

$$\left(\frac{dM}{du}\right)^2=4M(1-M)(1-\mathbf{k}^2M).$$

We end this paper with a sketch of a new proof of (3.2). It is known that the constant term of $M(u)$ is T_4, and that

$$T_4=q^2\psi^8(q^2).$$

One can verify that

$$3840M^4=(7M^{(2)}+68T_4)(M^{(2)}-4T_4)-9M^{(3)}M^{(1)}+M(2M^{(4)}+128T_6), \tag{3.3}$$

where $M^{(i)}$ is the ith derivative of M with respect to u.

By comparing the constant term on both sides, we are immediately led to (3.2). The above identity is motivated by recent work of the first author and Liu [**4**], where a proof of an identity similar to (3.3) is illustrated.

Acknowledgements. It is our pleasure to thank Professors Bruce C. Berndt and Stephen C. Milne for pointing out several misprints in an earlier version of this paper, and for their fruitful suggestions. We are also grateful to Professor Robin J. Chapman for pointing out some misprints in the paper. The preliminary version of this paper was written during the first author's stay at the East China Normal University. He would like to thank Professor Zhi-Guo Liu and the University for their hospitality and support.

References

1. T. M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*, 2nd edn (Springer, New York, 1990).

2. B.C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks, Part III* (Springer, New York, 1991).

3. H. H. Chan and K.S. Chua, 'Representations of integers as sums of 32 squares', *The Ramanujan J.* 7 (2003) 79–89.

4. H. H. Chan and Z.-G. Liu, 'Elliptic functions to the quintic base', preprint. **Q3**

5. D. A. Cox, *Primes of the form $x^2 + ny^2$* (Wiley, New York, 1989).

6. V. G. Kac, 'Lie superalgebras', *Adv. Math.* 26 (1997) 8–96.

7. V. G. Kac and M. Wakimoto, 'Integrable highest weight modules over affine superalgebras and number theory', *Lie theory and geometry,* in honor of Bertram Kostant, Progr. Math. 123 (ed. J. L. Brylinski, R. Brylinski, V. Guillemin and V. Kac, Birkhäuser, Boston, MA, 1994) 415–456.

8. Z.-G. Liu, 'On the representations of integers as sums of squares', *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics*, Contemp. Math. 291 (ed. B. C. Berndt and K. Ono, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001) 163–176.

9. S. Milne, 'Infinite families of exact sums of squares formulas, Jacobi elliptic functions, continued fractions, and Schur functions', *The Ramanujan J.* 6 (2002) 7–149.

10. K. Ono, 'Representations of integers as sums of squares', *J. Number Theory* 95 (2002) 253–258.

11. D. Zagier, 'A proof of the Kac–Wakimoto affine denominator formula for the strange series', *Math. Res. Lett.* 7 (2000) 597–604.

Heng Huat Chan
Department of Mathematics
National University of Singapore
2 Science Drive 2
Singapore 117543

chanhh@math.nus.edu.sg

Christian Krattenthaler
Institut Girard Desargues
Université Claude Bernard Lyon-I
21, avenue Claude Bernard
F-69622 Villeurbanne Cedex
France

kratt@euler.univ-lyon1.fr