# Pacific Journal of Mathematics

# **POWERS OF THETA FUNCTIONS**

HENG HUAT CHAN AND SHAUN COOPER

Volume 235 No. 1 March 2008

### POWERS OF THETA FUNCTIONS

### HENG HUAT CHAN AND SHAUN COOPER

Dedicated to Michael Hirschhorn on the occasion of his sixtieth birthday.

The Ramanujan-Mordell Theorem for sums of an even number of squares is extended to other quadratic forms. A number of explicit examples is given. As an application, the value of the convolution sum

$$\sum_{1 \le m < n/23} \sigma(m)\sigma(n-23m)$$

is determined, where  $\sigma(m)$  denotes the sum of the divisors of m.

### 1. Introduction

Throughout this work let  $\tau$  be a complex number with positive imaginary part, and let  $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$ . Dedekind's eta-function is defined by

(1) 
$$\eta(\tau) = q^{1/24} \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j).$$

Let

$$z = z(\tau) = \sum_{m = -\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n = -\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2 + n^2} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda = \Lambda(\tau) = \frac{\eta(2\tau)^{12}}{z^6}.$$

The following result was stated by S. Ramanujan [1916; 2000, p. 159, eq. (14)] and first proved by L. Mordell in [1917].

**Theorem 1.1** (Ramanujan–Mordell). *Suppose k is a positive integer. Then* 

$$z^{k} = F_{k}(\tau) + z^{k} \sum_{1 \le j \le (k-1)/4} c_{j,k} \Lambda^{j},$$

MSC2000: primary 11E25; secondary 33E05, 11F11, 05A19.

Keywords: sum of squares, Ramanujan, convolution sum, modular form, Eisenstein series.

The first author is funded by by National University of Singapore Academic Research Fund R146000103112.

where  $c_{j,k}$  are constants that depend on j and k, and  $F_k(\tau)$  is an Eisenstein series given by:

$$F_1(\tau) = 1 + 4\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{q^j}{1 + q^{2j}} = 1 + 4\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{j+1}q^{2j-1}}{1 - q^{2j-1}},$$

and for  $k \geq 1$ ,

$$F_{2k}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k(-1)^k}{(2^{2k} - 1)B_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{2k-1}q^j}{1 - (-1)^{k+j}q^j}, \quad and$$

$$F_{2k+1}(\tau) = 1 + \frac{4(-1)^k}{E_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{(2j)^{2k}q^j}{1 + q^{2j}} - \frac{(-1)^{k+j}(2j-1)^{2k}q^{2j-1}}{1 - q^{2j-1}} \right).$$

Here  $B_k$  and  $E_k$  are the Bernoulli numbers and Euler numbers, respectively, defined by

$$\frac{x}{e^x - 1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} x^k \quad and \quad \operatorname{sech} x = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{E_k}{k!} x^k.$$

For the values k=1, 2, 3 and 4, the condition  $1 \le j \le (k-1)/4$  is empty, and therefore Theorem 1.1 gives a representation of  $z, z^2, z^3$  and  $z^4$  solely in terms of an Eisenstein series. These are the familiar Lambert series for sums of 2, 4, 6 and 8 squares, originally due to C. G. J. Jacobi [1969]. The result for k=5 was known in part to G. Eisenstein (without proof) [1988, p. 501], and stated in full by J. Liouville (without proof) in [1866]. The result for k=6 was known in part to Liouville (without proof) in [1860; 1864]. The results for  $1 \le k \le 9$  were proved by J. W. L. Glaisher in a series of papers culminating in [1907]. The general statement of Theorem 1.1 is due to Ramanujan (without proof) [2000, Eqs. (145)–(147)], and the first proof is due to Mordell in [1917]. Other proofs of Theorem 1.1 have been given by R. A. Rankin in [1977, pp. 241–244] and S. Cooper in [2001].

The goal of this work is to prove the analogue of the Ramanujan–Mordell Theorem for which the quadratic form  $m^2+n^2$  in the definition of z is replaced with  $m^2+mn+n^2$ ,  $m^2+mn+2n^2$ ,  $m^2+mn+3n^2$ ,  $m^2+mn+6n^2$ , or  $2m^2+mn+3n^2$ . Before stating the result we make some definitions. For  $k \ge 1$ , define the normalized Eisenstein series by

(2) 
$$E_{2k}(\tau) = 1 - \frac{4k}{B_{2k}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{2k-1}q^j}{1 - q^j},$$

where  $B_{2k}$  denotes the Bernoulli numbers. Let p be an odd prime. The generalized Bernoulli numbers  $B_{k,p}$  are defined by

(3) 
$$\frac{x}{e^{px} - 1} \sum_{j=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) e^{jx} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_{k,p} \frac{x^k}{k!},$$

where  $\left(\frac{\cdot}{p}\right)$  is the Legendre symbol. Let k be a positive integer which satisfies

$$k \equiv \frac{p-1}{2} \pmod{2}.$$

The generalized Eisenstein series  $E_k^0(\tau;\chi_p)$  and  $E_k^\infty(\tau;\chi_p)$  are defined by

$$E_k^0(\tau; \chi_p) = \delta_{k,1} - \frac{2k}{B_{k,p}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^{k-1}}{1 - q^{pj}} \sum_{\ell=1}^{p-1} \left(\frac{\ell}{p}\right) q^{j\ell}, \quad \text{and}$$

$$E_k^\infty(\tau; \chi_p) = 1 - \frac{2k}{B_{k,p}} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{p}\right) \frac{j^{k-1} q^j}{1 - q^j},$$

where  $\delta_{m,n}$  is the Kronecker delta function, defined by

$$\delta_{m,n} = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m = n, \\ 0 & \text{if } m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

If p is a prime of the form  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$ , let

(4) 
$$F_1(\tau; p) = E_1^{\infty}(\tau; \chi_p),$$

and for  $k \ge 1$ , let

(5) 
$$F_{2k}(\tau; p) = \frac{E_{2k}(\tau) + (-p)^k E_{2k}(p\tau)}{1 + (-p)^k},$$

(6) 
$$F_{2k+1}(\tau; p) = E_{2k+1}^{\infty}(\tau; \chi_p) + (-p)^k E_{2k+1}^0(\tau; \chi_p).$$

For p = 3, 7, 11 or 23, let

(7) 
$$z_p = z_p(\tau) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2 + mn + (p+1)n^2/4}$$

and

(8) 
$$\Lambda_p = \Lambda_p(\tau) = \left(\frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)}{z_p}\right)^{24/(p+1)}.$$

Furthermore, let

(9) 
$$z'_{23} = z'_{23}(\tau) = \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2 + mn + 3n^2}$$

and

(10) 
$$\Lambda'_{23} = \Lambda'_{23}(\tau) = \frac{\eta(\tau)\eta(23\tau)}{z'_{23}}.$$

The analogue of the Ramanujan–Mordell Theorem, and the main result of this work, is:

**Theorem 1.2.** Suppose p = 3, 7, 11 or 23 and let k be a positive integer. Let  $F_k(\tau; p)$ ,  $z_p$  and  $\Lambda_p$  be defined by (4)–(8). Then

$$z_p^k = F_k(\tau; p) + z_p^k \sum_{1 \le j \le (p+1)k/24} c_{p,k,j} \Lambda_p^j,$$

where  $c_{p,k,j}$  are numerical constants that depend only on p, k and j. A similar result holds for  $z'_{23}$  and  $\Lambda'_{23}$  defined by (9) and (10), namely

$$z'_{23}^{k} = F_{k}(\tau; 23) + z'_{23}^{k} \sum_{1 \le j \le k} a_{k,j} \Lambda'_{23}^{j},$$

where  $a_{k,j}$  are numerical constants that depend only on k and j.

A proof of Theorem 1.2 will be given in Section 2. In the remainder of this section we describe some special cases of Theorem 1.2.

**Example 1.** For k = 1 and p = 3, 7 or 11, Theorem 1.2 gives

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+n^2} = 1 + 6 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{3}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j},$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2} = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{7}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j},$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+3n^2} = 1 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{11}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j}.$$

These are equivalent to instances of a general theorem of Dirichlet; see [Landau 1958, Theorem 204]. When k = 1 and p = 23, Theorem 1.2 gives

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{23}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j} + \frac{4}{3} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}),$$

$$\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 1 + \frac{2}{3} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{23}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j} - \frac{2}{3} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}),$$

and these were proved by F. van der Blij in [1952]. They may be rearranged to give

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} + 2 \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 3 + 2 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{23}\right) \frac{q^j}{1-q^j},$$

$$\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+6n^2} - \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{2m^2+mn+3n^2} = 2q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)(1-q^{23j}).$$

The first of these is equivalent to another instance of Dirichlet's theorem [Landau 1958, Theorem 204], and the second formula was noted by J.-P. Serre in [1977, p. 242].

**Example 2.** For the case p = 3, results for  $1 \le k \le 4$  were given (without proof) by Ramanujan [Andrews and Berndt 2005, pp. 402–403], and results for  $3 \le k \le 6$  were given by H. Petersson in [1982, p. 90]. For  $2 \le k \le 6$ , these results are:

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{m^2+mn+n^2}\right)^2 = 1 + 12\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{jq^j}{1-q^j} - 36\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{jq^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}},$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{m^2+mn+n^2}\right)^3 = 1 - 9\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{j}{3}\right)\frac{j^2q^j}{1-q^j} + 27\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^2q^j}{1+q^j+q^{2j}},$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{m^2+mn+n^2}\right)^4 = 1 + 24\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^3q^j}{1-q^j} + 216\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^3q^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}},$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{m^2+mn+n^2}\right)^5 = 1 + 3\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{j}{3}\right)\frac{j^4q^j}{1-q^j} + 27\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^4q^j}{1+q^j+q^{2j}},$$

$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty}\sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty}q^{m^2+mn+n^2}\right)^6 = 1 + \frac{252}{13}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^5q^j}{1-q^j} - \frac{6804}{13}\sum_{j=1}^{\infty}\frac{j^5q^{3j}}{1-q^{3j}} + \frac{216}{13}q\prod_{j=1}^{\infty}(1-q^j)^6(1-q^{3j})^6.$$

Results for  $p=3,\ 1\leq k\leq 20$ , were given by G. Lomadze in [1989a; 1989b]. Lomadze's expansions for  $6\leq j\leq 20$  are different from ours. For example, Lomadze's formula for k=6 has

$$\frac{1}{12} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left( \sum_{x_1^2 + x_1 y_1 + y_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_2 y_2 + y_2^2 = n} 9x_1^4 - 9nx_1^2 + n^2 \right) q^n$$

in place of

$$q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^6 (1 - q^{3j})^6,$$

and Lomadze's formulas become more complicated as k increases.

**Example 3.** For p = 7, the cases k = 2 and 3 of Theorem 1.2 give

(11) 
$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}\right)^2 = 1 + 4\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{jq^j}{1-q^j} - 28\sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{jq^{7j}}{1-q^{7j}}$$

and

$$(12) \qquad \left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}\right)^3$$

$$= 1 - \frac{7}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{j}{7}\right) \frac{j^2 q^j}{1 - q^j} + \frac{49}{8} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2 (q^j + q^{2j} - q^{3j} + q^{4j} - q^{5j} - q^{6j})}{1 - q^{7j}}$$

$$+ \frac{3}{4} q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^3 (1 - q^{7j})^3.$$

The identity (11) was given by Ramanujan; see [Andrews and Berndt 2005, p. 405, Entry 18.2.15]. See [Chan and Ong 1999; Cooper and Toh 2008; Liu 2003] and [Williams 2006] for other proofs.

The identity (12) is a consequence of the formulas for  $E_3^{\infty}(q; \chi_7)$  and  $E_3^0(q; \chi_7)$  in [Chan and Cooper 2008]. In [Chan et al. 2008], it was shown that

$$q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1 - q^j)^3 (1 - q^{7j})^3 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \left( m + n \left( \frac{1 + i\sqrt{7}}{2} \right) \right)^2 q^{m^2 + mn + 2n^2}.$$

Another result for  $z_7^3$  can be obtained by combining two of Ramanujan's results, [Andrews and Berndt 2005, p. 404, Entry 18.2.14] and [Berndt 1991, p. 467, Entry 5 (i)]:

(13) 
$$\left(\sum_{m=-\infty}^{\infty} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}\right)^3$$

$$= \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^j)^7}{(1-q^{7j})} + 13q \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} (1-q^j)^3 (1-q^{7j})^3 + 49q^2 \prod_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1-q^{7j})^7}{(1-q^j)}.$$

Other proofs of (13) have been given by H. H. Chan and Y. L. Ong in [1999, Lemma 2.2] and Z.-G. Liu in [2003].

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. We shall give a proof of Theorem 1.2 in Section 2. The proof depends on three transformation formulas (Lemmas 2.1–2.3) for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ , as well as a result that says certain bounded functions must be constant (Lemma 2.4). A proof of the identity (13) using the same technique is also given. Some applications to convolution sums are given in Section 3.

### 2. Proofs

Let

$$\Gamma = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ ad - bc = 1 \right\},$$

$$\Gamma_0(p) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} : a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, \ ad - bc = 1, \ c \equiv 0 \pmod{p} \right\}.$$

For p = 3, 7, 11 or 23, define

(14) 
$$\eta_p(\tau) = (\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau))^{24/(p+1)}.$$

The proof of Theorem 1.2 hinges on the following four lemmas.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let p = 3, 7, 11 or 23 and let  $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d} \in \Gamma_0(p)$ . Then, for  $\eta_p(\tau)$  defined by (14), we have

$$\eta_p \left( \frac{a\tau + b}{c\tau + d} \right) = \left( \frac{d}{p} \right)^{24/(p+1)} (c\tau + d)^{24/(p+1)} \eta_p(\tau)$$

and

$$\eta_p \left( \frac{-1}{\tau \sqrt{p}} \right) = (-i\tau)^{24/(p+1)} \eta_p \left( \frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}} \right).$$

*Proof.* These follow from the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta-function [Apostol 1990, p. 52, Theorem 3.4]. □

**Lemma 2.2.** Let p = 3, 7, 11 or 23 and let  $\binom{a\ b}{c\ d} \in \Gamma_0(p)$ . Then, for  $z_p(\tau)$  defined by (7), we have

$$z_p\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)(c\tau+d)z_p(\tau)$$

and

$$z_p\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = -i\,\tau z_p\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

The same transformation formulas hold when  $z_{23}$  is replaced with  $z'_{23}$ .

*Proof.* The first result follows from [Schoeneberg 1974, p. 217, Theorem 4] by taking r = 1,  $A = \binom{2}{1} \binom{1}{(p+1)/2}$ , h = (0,0), k = 0 and  $P_k = 1$ . The corresponding result for  $z'_{23}$  follows by taking  $A = \binom{41}{16}$ , with the other parameters being the same as for the case p = 23.

The second result is a direct consequence of [Schoeneberg 1974, p. 205, (5)].

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $p \equiv 3 \pmod{4}$  be prime and let n be a positive integer. Let  $\binom{a \ b}{c \ d} \in \Gamma_0(p)$ . Then for  $F_k(\tau; p)$  defined by (4)–(6), we have

$$F_k\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d};p\right) = \left(\frac{d}{p}\right)^k (c\tau+d)^k F_k(\tau;p)$$

and

$$F_k\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}};p\right) = (-i\tau)^k F_k\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}};p\right).$$

*Proof.* For odd values of k, these follow from [Cooper 2008, Theorem 6.1] or [Kolberg 1968, (1.8)–(1.12)]. For even values of k with  $k \ge 4$ , these follow from the well-known transformation formulas for  $E_{2k}(\tau)$ , for example, see [Serre 1973, pp. 83, 92, 95–96]. For k = 2, the results are most easily proved by appealing to the transformation formulas for the function  $\left(\frac{\eta(p\tau)}{\eta(\tau)}\right)^{24}$  in [Apostol 1990, pp. 84–85, Theorems 4.7 and 4.8], and then applying logarithmic differentiation.

**Lemma 2.4.** Let  $f(\tau)$  be analytic and bounded in the upper half plane  $\text{Im}(\tau) > 0$ , and suppose it satisfies the transformation property

(15) 
$$f\left(\frac{a\tau+b}{c\tau+d}\right) = f(\tau) \quad \text{for all} \quad \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_0(p).$$

Then f is constant.

Proof. This is Theorem 4.4 in [Apostol 1990, p. 79].

We are now ready to prove Theorem 1.2.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let p = 3, 7, 11 or 23, and let k be a positive integer. Let  $\ell$  be the smallest integer that satisfies  $\frac{24\ell}{p+1} \ge k$ . Consider the functions

$$\varphi(\tau) = \varphi_{p,k}(\tau) = \frac{F_k(\tau; p)}{(z_p(\tau))^k} \left(\frac{z_p(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)}\right)^{24\ell/(p+1)} \quad \text{and}$$

$$\psi(\tau) = \psi_p(\tau) = \left(\frac{z_p(\tau)}{\eta(\tau)\eta(p\tau)}\right)^{24/(p+1)}.$$

By Lemmas 2.1–2.3,  $\varphi(\tau)$  and  $\psi(\tau)$  satisfy the transformation property (15). Furthermore,  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are both analytic in the upper half plane  $0 < \text{Im}(\tau) < \infty$ , as  $\eta(\tau)$  does not vanish in this region. Let us analyze the behavior at  $\tau = i\infty$ . From the q-expansions, we find that

$$\varphi(\tau) = \frac{(1 + O(q))}{(1 + O(q))^k} \left( \frac{1 + O(q)}{q + O(q^2)} \right)^{\ell} = q^{-\ell} + O(q^{-\ell+1}) \quad \text{as } \tau \to i\infty.$$

Therefore  $\varphi(\tau)$  has a pole of order  $\ell$  at  $i\infty$ . Similarly, we find that  $\psi(\tau)$  has a pole of order 1 at  $i\infty$ . It follows that there exist constants  $b_1, \ldots, b_\ell$ , such that the function

(16) 
$$\lambda(\tau) := \varphi(\tau) - \sum_{j=1}^{\ell} b_j \left( \psi(\tau) \right)^j$$

has no pole at  $i\infty$ . That is to say,

$$\lambda(\tau) = b_0 + O(q)$$
 as  $\tau \to i\infty$ 

for some constant  $b_0$ . Let us consider the behavior of  $\lambda(\tau)$  at  $\tau = 0$ . By the second result in each of Lemmas 2.1–2.3, we find that

$$\varphi\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = \varphi\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right) \quad \text{and} \quad \psi\left(\frac{-1}{\tau\sqrt{p}}\right) = \psi\left(\frac{\tau}{\sqrt{p}}\right).$$

Therefore

$$\lambda(\tau) = \lambda\left(\frac{-1}{p\tau}\right) \longrightarrow b_0 \text{ as } \tau \to 0.$$

It follows from the description of the fundamental region for  $\Gamma_0(p)$  given in [Apostol 1990, p. 76, Theorem 4.2] that  $\lambda(\tau)$  is bounded in the upper half plane. Hence by Lemma 2.4,  $\lambda(\tau)$  is constant, that is,  $\lambda(\tau) \equiv b_0$ . Therefore, from (16) we have

$$\varphi(\tau) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j (\psi(\tau))^j.$$

Using the fact that  $\psi(\tau) = 1/\Lambda_p(\tau)$ , this is equivalent to

$$F_k(\tau; p) = z_p^k \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} b_j \Lambda_p^{\ell-j} = z_p^k \sum_{0 \le j \le (p+1)k/24} c_j \Lambda_p^j,$$

where  $c_j = b_{\ell-j}$ . Letting q = 0 on both sides we deduce that  $c_0 = 1$ .

If we replace  $z_{23}$  and  $\Lambda_{23}$  by  $z'_{23}$  and  $\Lambda'_{23}$ , respectively, at every step in the proof, we establish the result for  $z'_{23}$  and  $\Lambda'_{23}$ .

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.

**Remarks.** For p=3, 7, 11 or 23, the genus of the normalizer of  $\Gamma_0(p)$  in  $SL_2(\mathbb{R})$  (denoted by  $\Gamma_0(p)+$ ) is 0. It turns out that for each p, the field of functions invariant under  $\Gamma_0(p)+$  is generated by  $\psi_p(\tau)$ , which has a simple pole at  $\tau=i\infty$ . Since  $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$  has a pole of order  $\ell$  at  $\tau=i\infty$  and  $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$  is a function on  $\Gamma_0(p)+$ , it follows that  $\varphi_{p,k}(\tau)$  is a polynomial in  $\psi_p(\tau)$  with degree exactly  $\ell$ . This explains the existence of relation (16).

The identity (13) may be proved similarly.

Proof of (13). Let

$$F(\tau) = \frac{z_7^3}{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)}$$
 and  $G(\tau) = \frac{\eta^4(\tau)}{\eta^4(7\tau)}$ .

Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2 imply  $F(\tau)$  satisfies the transformation formula (15). Furthermore, [Apostol 1990, p. 87, Theorem 4.9] implies that  $G(\tau)$  also satisfies the transformation formula (15). The q-expansions are

(17) 
$$F(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + O(1)$$
 and  $G(\tau) = \frac{1}{q} + O(1)$  as  $\tau \to i\infty$ .

Hence  $F(\tau)$  and  $G(\tau)$  both have a pole of order 1 at  $\tau = i\infty$ .

By the second parts of Lemmas 2.1 and 2.2, and by the transformation formula for the Dedekind eta-function [Apostol 1990, p. 52, Theorem 3.4], we have

(18) 
$$F\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) = F(\tau) \quad \text{and} \quad G\left(\frac{-1}{\tau}\right) = \frac{49}{G(\tau)}.$$

Therefore at the point  $\tau = 0$ ,  $F(\tau)$  has a pole of order 1 and  $G(\tau)$  has a zero of order 1.

Let

$$H(\tau) := F(\tau) - aG(\tau) - \frac{b}{G(\tau)},$$

where a and b are constants that will be chosen so that  $H(\tau)$  has no pole at 0 or  $i\infty$ . In order for there to be no pole at  $\tau = i\infty$ , (17) implies a = 1. In order for there to be no pole at  $\tau = 0$ , (17) and (18) imply b = 49. It follows that the function  $H(\tau)$  with these values of a and b is bounded in the upper half plane, and Lemma 2.4 implies that it is constant. That is,

$$\frac{z_7^3}{\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)} = c + \frac{\eta^4(\tau)}{\eta^4(7\tau)} + 49\frac{\eta^4(7\tau)}{\eta^4(\tau)},$$

for some constant c. If we multiply by  $\eta^3(\tau)\eta^3(7\tau)$  and compare coefficients of q on both sides, we find that c=13. This completes the proof of (13).

## 3. Application to convolution sums

Let  $\sigma_j(n)$  denote the sum of the *j*-th powers of the divisors of *n*, and let  $\sigma(n) = \sigma_1(n)$ . The convolution sum

$$W_k(n) = \sum_{1 \le m < n/k} \sigma(m)\sigma(n - km)$$

has been evaluated for  $1 \le k \le 14$  and k = 16, 18 and 24. See [Alaca et al. 2007] and [Royer 2007] for references. In this section, we show how Theorem 1.2 leads to an evaluation of  $W_k(n)$  for the cases k = 3, 7, 11 and 23. The case k = 23 is new. Let

$$P(q) = E_2(\tau) = 1 - 24 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{jq^j}{1 - q^j},$$

$$Q(q) = E_4(\tau) = 1 + 240 \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^3 q^j}{1 - q^j},$$

$$S(q) = -\frac{q}{24} \frac{d}{dq} P(q) = \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \frac{j^2 q^j}{(1 - q^j)^2}.$$

**Theorem 3.1.** For p = 3, 7, 11 and 23 we have

$$P(q)P(q^p) = \frac{1}{p^2+1}(Q(q)+p^2Q(q^p)) - \frac{144}{p}(S(q)+p^2S(q^p)) - 576z_p^4u_p(\Lambda_p),$$

where

$$\begin{split} u_3(\Lambda_3) &= 0, \\ u_7(\Lambda_7) &= \frac{1}{70}\Lambda_7, \\ u_{11}(\Lambda_{11}) &= \frac{1}{671}(15\Lambda_{11} - 17\Lambda_{11}^2), \\ u_{23}(\Lambda_{23}) &= \frac{1}{2438}(77\Lambda_{23} - 222\Lambda_{23}^2 + 201\Lambda_{23}^3 - 30\Lambda_{23}^4). \end{split}$$

*Proof.* By Theorem 1.2 with k = 2 and 4, we have

(19) 
$$\frac{pP(q^p) - P(q)}{p-1} = z_p^2 \left( 1 - \sum_{1 \le j \le (p+1)/12} c_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j \right),$$

(20) 
$$\frac{p^2 Q(q^p) + Q(q)}{p^2 + 1} = z_p^4 \left( 1 - \sum_{1 \le j \le (p+1)/6} d_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j \right),$$

for some constants  $c_{p,j}$  and  $d_{p,j}$ . If we square (19) and subtract the result from (20), we obtain

$$\frac{p^2 Q(q^p) + Q(q)}{p^2 + 1} - \frac{(pP(q^p) - P(q))^2}{(p-1)^2} = z_p^4 \sum_{1 \le j \le (p+1)/6} d'_{p,j} \Lambda_p^j,$$

for some constants  $d'_{p,j}$ . This may be rewritten as

$$\begin{split} P(q)P(q^p) &= \frac{1}{2p}(p^2P^2(q^p) + P^2(q)) - \frac{(p-1)^2}{2p(p^2+1)}(p^2Q(q^p) + Q(q)) \\ &+ z_p^4 \sum\nolimits_{1 \leq j \leq (p+1)/6} d_{p,j}'' \Lambda_p^j, \end{split}$$

for some constants  $d''_{p,j}$ . Now use the result (see [Chan 2007; Glaisher 1885] or [Ramanujan 2000, p. 142, Eq. (30)])

$$P^{2}(q) = Q(q) - 288S(q)$$

to get

$$P(q)P(q^{p}) = \frac{1}{p^{2}+1}(Q(q) + p^{2}Q(q^{p})) - \frac{144}{p}(S(q) + p^{2}S(q^{p})) + z_{p}^{4} \sum_{1 \le j \le (p+1)/6} d_{p,j}'' \Lambda_{p}^{j}.$$

The values of the coefficients  $d_{p,j}''$  may be determined by expanding in powers of q and equating coefficients of  $q^j$  for  $1 \le j \le (p+1)/6$ . In this way we obtain the polynomials  $u_p(\Lambda_p)$  given in the statement of the theorem. This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.2.** For p = 3, 7, 11 and 23 we have

$$\begin{split} W_p(n) &= \frac{5}{12(p^2+1)} \left( \sigma_3(n) + p^2 \sigma_3 \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) \right) \\ &+ \left( \frac{1}{24} - \frac{n}{4p} \right) \sigma(n) + \left( \frac{1}{24} - \frac{n}{4} \right) \sigma \left( \frac{n}{p} \right) - c_p(n). \end{split}$$

Here  $c_p(n)$  is defined by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_p(n) q^n = z_p^4 u_p(\Lambda_p),$$

and  $u_p(\Lambda_p)$  is as in Theorem 3.1.

*Proof.* Equate coefficients of  $q^n$  on both sides of the identity in Theorem 3.1.  $\square$ 

### References

[Alaca et al. 2007] A. Alaca, Ş. Alaca, and K. S. Williams, "Evaluation of the convolution sums  $\sum_{l+18m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$  and  $\sum_{2l+9m=n} \sigma(l)\sigma(m)$ ", Int. Math. Forum 2:1 (2007), 45–68. MR 2007a: 11052 Zbl 05151598

[Andrews and Berndt 2005] G. E. Andrews and B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's lost notebook. Part I*, Springer, New York, 2005. MR 2005m:11001 Zbl 1075.11001

- [Apostol 1990] T. M. Apostol, *Modular functions and Dirichlet series in number theory*, 2nd ed., Graduate Texts in Mathematics **41**, Springer, New York, 1990. MR 90j:11001 Zbl 0697.10023
- [Berndt 1991] B. C. Berndt, *Ramanujan's notebooks*, vol. III, Springer, New York, 1991. MR 92j: 01069 Zbl 0733.11001
- [van der Blij 1952] F. van der Blij, "Binary quadratic forms of discriminant -23", *Indagationes Math.* **14** (1952), 498–503. MR 14,623d Zbl 0047.28202
- [Chan 2007] H. H. Chan, "Triple product identity, quintuple product identity and Ramanujan's differential equations for the classical Eisenstein series", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **135**:7 (2007), 1987–1992. MR 2007m:11027 Zbl 1111.11024
- [Chan and Cooper 2008] H. H. Chan and S. Cooper, "Eisenstein series and theta functions to the septic base", *J. Number Theory* **128**:3 (2008), 680–699.
- [Chan and Ong 1999] H. H. Chan and Y. L. Ong, "On Eisenstein series and  $\sum_{m,n=-\infty}^{\infty} q^{m^2+mn+2n^2}$ ", *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **127**:6 (1999), 1735–1744. MR 99i:11029 Zbl 0922.11039
- [Chan et al. 2008] H. H. Chan, S. Cooper, and W.-C. Liaw, "On  $\eta^3(a\tau)\eta^3(b\tau)$  with a+b=8", J. Austral. Math. Soc. (2008). To appear.
- [Cooper 2001] S. Cooper, "On sums of an even number of squares, and an even number of triangular numbers: an elementary approach based on Ramanujan's  $_1\psi_1$  summation formula", pp. 115–137 in *q-series with applications to combinatorics, number theory, and physics* (Urbana, IL, 2000), edited by B. C. Berndt and K. Ono, Contemp. Math. **291**, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2001. MR 2002k:11046 Zbl 0998.11019
- [Cooper 2008] S. Cooper, "Construction of Eisenstein series for  $\Gamma_0(p)$ ", Int. J. Number Theory (2008). To appear.
- [Cooper and Toh 2008] S. Cooper and P. C. Toh, "Quintic and septic Eisenstein series", *Ramanujan J.* (2008). To appear.
- [Eisenstein 1988] G. F. Eisenstein, *Mathematische Werke*, 2nd ed., Chelsea, New York, 1988. MR 55 #66a Zbl 0339.01018
- [Glaisher 1885] J. W. L. Glaisher, "On the square of the series in which the coefficients are the sums of the divisors of the exponents", *Mess. Math.* **15** (1885), 156–163. JFM 17.0434.01
- [Glaisher 1907] J. W. L. Glaisher, "On the numbers of representations of a number as a sum of 2r squares, where 2r does not exceed eighteen.", *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (2) **5** (1907), 479–490. JFM 38.0225.03
- [Jacobi 1969] C. G. J. Jacobi, Gesammelte Werke. B\u00e4nde I, Herausgegeben auf Veranlassung der K\u00f6niglich Preussischen Akademie der Wissenschaften. Zweite Ausgabe, Chelsea, New York, 1969. MR 41 #5181 JFM 18.0016.03
- [Kolberg 1968] O. Kolberg, "Note on the Eisenstein series of  $\Gamma_0$  (p)", Arbok Univ. Bergen Mat.-Natur. Ser. **1968**:6 (1968), 20 pp. (1969). MR 40 #5544 Zbl 0233.10013
- [Landau 1958] E. Landau, *Elementary number theory*, Chelsea, New York, N.Y., 1958. Translated by J. E. Goodman. MR 19,1159d Zbl 0079.06201
- [Liouville 1860] J. Liouville, "Nombre des représentations du double d'un entier impair sous la forme d'une somme de douze carrés", *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (2) **5** (1860), 143–146.
- [Liouville 1864] J. Liouville, "Extrait d'une lettre adressée a M. Besge", J. Math. Pures Appl. (2) 9 (1864), 296–298.
- [Liouville 1866] J. Liouville, "Nombre des Représentations d'un entier quelconque sous la forme d'une somme de dix carrés", *J. Math. Pures Appl.* (2) **11** (1866), 1–8.

[Liu 2003] Z.-G. Liu, "Some Eisenstein series identities related to modular equations of the seventh order", Pacific J. Math. 209:1 (2003), 103–130. MR 2004c:11052 Zbl 1050.11048

[Lomadze 1989a] G. A. Lomadze, "Representation of numbers by sums of the quadratic forms  $x_1^2$  +  $x_1x_2 + x_2^2$ ", Acta Arith. **54**:1 (1989), 9–36. MR 90m:11147 Zbl 0643.10014

[Lomadze 1989b] G. A. Lomadze, "Representation of numbers by the direct sum of quadratic forms of type  $x_1^2 + x_1x_2 + x_2^2$ ", Trudy Tbiliss. Univ. Mat. Mekh. Astronom. **26** (1989), 5–21. MR 92m:11037 Zbl 0900.11010

[Mordell 1917] L. J. Mordell, "On the representation of numbers as the sum of 2r squares", Quart. J. Pure and Appl. Math. 48 (1917), 93-104.

[Petersson 1982] H. Petersson, Modulfunktionen und quadratische Formen, vol. 100, Ergebnisse der Mathematik und ihrer Grenzgebiete, Springer, Berlin, 1982. MR 85h:11021 Zbl 0493.10033

[Ramanujan 1916] S. Ramanujan, "On certain arithmetical functions", Trans. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 22:9 (1916), 159-184.

[Ramanujan 2000] S. Ramanujan, Collected papers of Srinivasa Ramanujan, edited by G. H. Hardy et al., AMS Chelsea, Providence, RI, 2000. MR 2008b:11002 Zbl 1110.11001

[Rankin 1977] R. A. Rankin, Modular forms and functions, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1977. MR 58 #16518 Zbl 0376.10020

[Royer 2007] E. Royer, "Evaluating convolution sums of the divisor function by quasimodular forms", Int. J. Number Theory 3:2 (2007), 231-261. MR 2333619

[Schoeneberg 1974] B. Schoeneberg, Elliptic modular functions: an introduction, Die Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften 203, Springer, New York, 1974. Translated from the German by J. R. Smart and E. A. Schwandt. MR 54 #236 Zbl 0285.10016

[Serre 1973] J.-P. Serre, A course in arithmetic, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 7, Springer, New York, 1973. Translated from the French. MR 49 #8956 Zbl 0256.12001

[Serre 1977] J.-P. Serre, "Modular forms of weight one and Galois representations", pp. 193-268 in Algebraic number fields: L-functions and Galois properties (Durham, 1975), Academic Press, London, 1977. MR 56 #8497 Zbl 0366.10022

[Williams 2006] K. S. Williams, "On a double series of Chan and Ong", Georgian Math. J. 13:4 (2006), 793-805. MR 2309261 Zbl 05225723

Received November 6, 2007. Revised December 7, 2007.

HENG HUAT CHAN DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS NATIONAL UNIVERSITY OF SINGAPORE KENT RIDGE 119260 SINGAPORE matchh@nus.edu.sg

SHAUN COOPER INSTITUTE OF INFORMATION AND MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES

MASSEY UNIVERSITY - ALBANY

PRIVATE BAG 102904, NORTH SHORE MAIL CENTRE

AUCKLAND

NEW ZEALAND

s.cooper@massey.ac.nz